Dear Mr. McLerran,

The EPA's proposed cleanup of the Portland Harbor is a big win for polluters and a bad deal for the public. The draft cleanup plan relies too much on "monitored natural recovery," a "do nothing" strategy that leaves pollution in the river in the hopes that it will either be covered over or flushed downstream. A more aggressive cleanup plan is needed to prevent continued harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment, culture, and wildlife viewing opportunities, I recommend:

1) That the EPA select Alternative "G," with modifications of additional dredged acreage recommended by the Audubon Society of Portland.

2) The Cleanup Plan must result in the removal of the Fish Consumption Advisory so that eating fish from the Lower Willamette is just as safe as eating fish from anywhere else in the Willamette River System and this must occur within a 10-20 year time frame.

3) Toxic dredged sediments must be taken to an appropriate landfill. Creating a toxic waste disposal dump in our river is not acceptable.

4) Entities with liability for the pollution must be held accountable and pay for a comprehensive cleanup that will protect the health of our communities, our environment and our wildlife.

*	
These elements get us closer to the plan our communities dess Harbor. Written by his daughter -(b) (6)	erve. We deserve a clean, safe Portland
Iam 99 years dd asachild	I fished the
willamette adjacent to north p	vertiand when the
That the relietors have deflor	ed this river.
Print Name (b) (6)	8-16-201 Date
Signature (b) (6)	
Email for u	

(b) (6)
(b) (6)
Selem, OR 97303
RECEIVED

RECEIVED OREGON OPERATIONS OFFICE

AUG 19 2016

EPA-REGION 10

ATTN: Harbor Comments U.S. EPA 805 SW Broadway, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97205

 $\eta_{HP}[a\theta_1][b][b][b][a\theta_1][b][a\theta_2][b][a\theta_1][b][a\theta_2][b][a\theta_2][b][a\theta_1][b][a\theta_2][a\theta_2][a\theta_$